

STUDY OF A HABOOB IN IRAN

Analysis and numerical simulation of Tehran dust storm on 2nd June 2014

Ana Vukovic^{1,2} pazisadana@gmail.com ; anavuk@agrif.bg.ac.rs

Bojan Cvetkovic², Slobodan Nickovic², Goran Pejanovic², Slavko Petkovic², Mirjam Vujadinovic^{1,2}

¹Faculty of Agriculture, University of Belgrade, Serbia ²South East European Climate Change Center, RHMSS, Serbia





ATMOSPHERIC DUST MODELLING

LONG RANGE TRANSPORT:

global and regional models Coarse resolution (several 10km to ~100km) Particles mineral composition Transformation and interaction with the environment

SHORT RANGE TRANSPORT:

Nonhydrostatic regional models High resolution (several km) Dust sources weather dependable Forecast of the dust storms

GLOBAL MODELS

REGIOANAL MODELS

NONHYDROSTATIC MODELS



NUMERICAL REPRESENTATION OF ATMOSPHERIC DUST TRANSPORT Numerically solving the prognostic equation for dust concentration.

Particles are assumed to be spherical.

Particles are divided in categories by size.

Number of categories different among modells.

Concentration of particles is calculated for each category in each model grid point.







Mapping dust sources

- Mapping areas without vegetation, knowing soil texture and dust particles size distribution (for one grid box: % bare_land, % silt_clay, % size_category).
- Purpose of the model use defines methodology in definition and level of complexity of mapping dust sources.

long range transport modelling:

main sources desert areas %bare_land = 100% % silt_clay ?!

> SOIL TEXTURE POOR QUALITY Clay and silt content not well known



dust storms modelling:

agricultural areas, point like sources dependant on weather conditions %silt_clay = higher quality of data % bare_land ?!

CURRENT VEGETATION COVER UNKNOWN



Similar problem with drying lakes.

For each model grid box: determine potential for dust emission APLHA=%of bare land (can be obtained from satellite data) BETA=%of clay or % of silt in soil surface (from soil database, currently STATSGO)

% vegetation

0.3

0.2

0.1

0.0

% bare land

% of clay or silt in soil

clay

()

ALPHA*(BETA_{clay}+BETA_{silt}) =available amount of clay or silt in surface soil in model grid box =%of dust productive soil surface

GAMMA=%of each category in dust productive soils =particle size distribution (parameterized values, same in each grid box)

DELTA

silt

7

8

6

5

=(ALPHA*BETA*GAMMA) =%of each particle size at surface available for emission

needed information for soil
 wetness and surface wind speed!
 (from atm. model)

Problems in defining DELTA

- Problems in determining %of bare soil if the sources are seasonable and weather depending (can be solved using regularly updated satellite data)
- Model resolution too coarse to be able to see small point-like sources (adjust model resolution and model domain to the purpose it serves)
- Soil texture data low quality, % of clay and silt is not valid (if there is too much emission from the surface which is known as not dust productive, introduce another layer of "preferential dust source mask" to mask the false sources; if new dry areas appeared, like dried lakes, update soil texture data) – priority for Iran
- Parameterization of particles size distribution same in all dust productive areas (not much can be done on this matter, too much field observations needed)



Emission and turbulent uptake of D-particles

CLMK

Cok

Csk

source efficiency

turbulent layei

viscous sublaye

- calculates lower boundary condition for d-concentration (C_{LMk} conc. on lowest model level)
- depends on soil moisture and wind velocity

From numerical atmospheric modeling, similar as for water vapor; this approach is different among the models,... Surface tubulent flux $F_{Csk} = K_s \frac{C_{LMk} - C_{0k}}{\Delta z_{LM}}$

Flux at the top of the viscous $F_{Csk} = \lambda \frac{C_{0k} - C_{sk}}{Z_c}$ sublayer (Janjic 1994)

Flux from the surface (calculation of C_{sk}) (Shao i dr. 1993; Marticorena and Birgametti 1995; Shao 2004)

DELTA; soil moisture; surface wind speed => determines flux of each particle

Deposition of D-particles from *LM* **level**

Dry deposition

(Zhang i dr. 2001, Slinn 1982)

- Include effects:
 - Brown diffusion, impaction, interception and gravitational settling
- Accounts for land cover type

$$\left(\frac{\partial C_{LMk}}{\partial t}\right)_{dsink} = -\frac{C_{LMk}v_{dk}}{\Delta z_{LM}}$$

Wet deposition

 washout of atmospheric dust by precipitation



STUDY CASE: TEHRAN DUST STORM 2ND JUNE 2014



Simulation of small scale (local; several 100km), intense (several 1000ug/m3 PM10) & short lived (few hours) dust storms

Information from reports

- reached city at 5.30 p.m. local time;
- passing of the sand storm over the fixed site lasted about 15min;
- storm duration less than 2h;
- reduction of visibility to ~10m; wind velocity reached 110 km/h;
- temperature dropped from 33 to 18C in several min;
- at least 5 deaths, 82 injured; multiple vehicle collision;
- 50 000 residential units lost power.

Theory

- Intensive cold downbursts from convective cells produced high velocity surface wind, creating cold front which was lifting, mixing and pushing dust towards the city;
- Expected: high wind speed, drop in temperature, rise in humidity, rise in pressure, reduction of visibility.











Numerical simulation of Tehran dust storm 2 June 2014

DREAM – SEEVCCC: NMME atmospheric driver (Vukovic et al. 2014 – HR simulation)

(Perez et al. 2006, Nickovic 2001)

Model domain: lat 31N-39N, lon 46E-56E; Model resolution: 1/40 horizontal (~4km); 60 vertical levels Forecast time: 12UTC 01 June 2014 – 00UTC 03 June 2014 (36h)

Time of the event: about 12-15 UTC 02 June 2014



	Increase 2X horizontal
3251	resolution, computing time
3001	increases 8X (on the same
	number of processors).
2751	Double the no. of vertical
2501	levels is additional 2X.
2251	Choose domain, resolution
	and output frequency wisely!
2001	
1751	We choose ~4km resolution
1501	because operational NWP

- models nowadays are on
- ~4km resolution.
- The main goal:

1251

1001

751

501

251

To create tool for forecast of intense local short lived dust storms in service of warning system.

clay size particles source potential = (clay content)*(bare land)
silt size particles source potential = (silt content)*(bare land)



Hypothesis: Multicell storm

Several storm cells with cold downdraft, lifting the dust, formed one after another from south of Tehran province to the west of Tehran province.

Model surface wind velocity and direction



Model 2m temperature, MSLP, wind



DNC (surface) Dust Number Concentration *number of dust particles in cm*³

Dust uplift and transport controlled with three main cells.



Dust PM10 surface concentration exceeds 4000ug/m3 in southern parts of Tehran province.



Vertical cross section along 35N



Values are on model levels, altitude of model levels are in black lines.

Vertical cross section along 35N



Values are on model levels, altitude of model levels are in black lines.



Imam Khomeini airport OIIE



NMME-DREAM (SEEVCCC) simulation results for the period 06-20 UTC 2014



Animation avalaible at:

http://haos.ff.bg.ac.rs/pazisadana/Tehran training course 2016/

DUST FORECAST MODEL INTERCOMPARISON: CASE STUDY OF THE DUST STORM OVER TEHRAN ON 2nd JUNE 2014

Joint project in the framework of the WMO SDS-WAS

WORKPLAN

To conduct an in-depth case study of the small-scale, short timed extreme dust storm occurred in Tehran on 2 June 2014:

- qualitative and quantitative description of the storm and environmental conditions which caused such severe event,
- ensemble high resolution coupled atmospheric-dust numerical modelling,
- models' verification,
- identification of dust storm forecast capabilities (benefits and lacks),
- multi-disciplinary and multi-institutional collaboration in developing warning system for such extreme weather event.

MOTIVATION

- Scientific: investigate high resolution dust source identification methods and dust movement dynamics with focus on vertical mixing (high concentration and high speed scenario)
- Social: initialize development of high resolution dust forecast model in service for public safety

INSTITUTIONS

- Department of Environment of the Iranian Meteorological Organization (IRIMO), Tehran, Iran
- Faculty of Geography, University of Tehran, Iran
- Geological Survey of Iran, GSI
- Barcelona Supercomputing Center-Centro Nacional de Supercomputación (BSC-CNS), Spain
- Karlsruhe Institute Technology (KIT), Karlsruhe, Alemania
- Leibniz Institute for Tropospheric Research (TROPOS), Leipzing, Germany
- South East European Virtual Climate Change Center (SEEVCC), Belgrade, Serbia
- National Observatory of Athens (NOA), Athens, Greece
- UK MetOffice, Exeter, United Kingdom
- NOAA/NWS/NCEP Environmental Modeling Center, New York, USA
- Italian Research Council (CNR), Bologna, Italy
- Egyptian Meteorological Authority (EMA), El Cairo, Egypt
- Centro de Investación Atmosférica de Izaña-Spanish Weather Agency (CIAI-AEMET), Santa Cruz de Tenerife, Spain
- and counting...

Nature of experts involvement: modelers, data providers, advisors, observers.

PROGRESS

- identification of participants' role in the project finished;
- gathering observation, input model data and model simulations in progress.

Contact person: Ana Vukovic (pazisadana@gmail.com; anavuk@agrif.bg.ac.rs)

Future work

- Collect more different models' simulations
- Possible correction of the dust masks
- Collect observations and define nature and characteristics of the storm
- Comparison and evaluation of the dust forecast quality

Note:

- Verification method must be adjusted to the available observations (usual methods not applicable because of the large temporal and spatial variability of the airborne dust)
- Double penalty problem!!!

(recognized in precipitation verification; meaning: decrease of scores with increase of resolution)



Dust concentration is higher in the coarse model resolution then in the fine model resolution, but no event is visible. Fine resolution model see the event better but dust plume in model is shifted because of small bias in wind direction and standard verification method (calculating scores comparing point on point values) will give false conclusion that fine resolution model didn't reproduced the storm.